

Prof. Dr. Hans Peter Büchler
Institut für Theoretische Physik III, Universität Stuttgart

1. November 2016
WS 2016/17

Exercise 1: Fourier transform (Written, 6 points)

Given a complex-valued function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the one-dimensional Fourier transformation is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}[f(x)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-ikx} f(x) \equiv \hat{f}(k). \quad (1)$$

a) Show that

$$\mathcal{F}[1] = 2\pi\delta(k) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}[\delta(x)] = 1, \quad (2)$$

where $\delta(q)$ is the Dirac delta function. Use $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq h(q)\delta(q) = h(0)$.

b) Show that the inverse Fourier transformation is

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\hat{f}(k)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ikx} \hat{f}(k) = f(x). \quad (3)$$

c) Show that

$$\mathcal{F}[f(x+a)] = e^{ika} \mathcal{F}[f(x)]. \quad (4)$$

d) Show that

$$\mathcal{F}[\partial_x f(x)] = ik \mathcal{F}[f(x)]. \quad (5)$$

e) The convolution of two functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi f(\xi)g(x-\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi f(x-\xi)g(\xi). \quad (6)$$

Show that

$$\mathcal{F}[(f * g)(x)] = \mathcal{F}[f(x)] \cdot \mathcal{F}[g(x)]. \quad (7)$$

f) Calculate the Fourier transformation of the Gaussian function

$$f(x) = e^{-ax^2} \quad \text{with} \quad a \neq 0, \quad \text{Re}(a) \geq 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (8)$$

Pay particular attention to the calculation for a purely imaginary a .

Exercise 2: Wave packet (Written, 6 points)

We consider a free particle of mass m . Its dispersion relation is $\omega(k) = \frac{\hbar}{2m}k^2$. As shown in the lecture, the functions $\psi_k(x) = e^{i(kx - \omega(k)t)}$ form a basis for the solution space of the Schrödinger equation for the free particle.

One solution of the Schrödinger equation for the free particle is the Gaussian wave packet. At time $t = 0$, the wave packet is of the form

$$\psi(x, 0) = A e^{ik_0 x} e^{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{4\sigma}}. \quad (9)$$

- a) Calculate the normalization constant A .
 b) Show that the wave packet becomes

$$\psi(x, t) = \left(\frac{\sigma}{2\pi\sigma_t^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{ik_0 x} e^{-i\frac{\hbar}{2m}k_0^2 t} e^{-\frac{(x - (x_0 + \hbar k_0 t/m))^2}{4\sigma_t}}, \quad \sigma_t = \sigma + i\frac{\hbar}{2m}t \quad (10)$$

for arbitrary time t .

- c) Determine the velocity of the particle which is described by the wave packet. Use the equations

$$\langle v \rangle = \partial_t \langle x \rangle, \quad \langle x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x, t) x \psi(x, t). \quad (11)$$

- d) The uncertainty Δx is defined by $(\Delta x)^2 = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2$. It is a measure of the width of a probability distribution. At $t = 0$, the uncertainty of the particle's position is given by $(\Delta x)^2|_{t=0} = \sigma$. Show that

$$(\Delta x)^2 = \sigma(a_0 + a_1 t^2) \quad (12)$$

for arbitrary time t .

- e) A temperature profile $T(x, t)$ is described by the heat equation

$$\partial_t T(x, t) - D \partial_x^2 T(x, t) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where D is a real constant. We consider a Gaussian temperature profile with $(\Delta x)^2|_{t=0} = \sigma$ at $t = 0$. Show that

$$(\Delta x)^2 = \sigma(b_0 + b_1 t) \quad (14)$$

for arbitrary time t . Take into account that $\langle x \rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx T(x, t) x. \quad (15)$$

in the context of the heat equation.

The solution of the heat equation can be obtained from the solution of the Schrödinger equation through Wick rotation $t \rightarrow -it$. In addition, we must set $k_0 = 0$ inside the solution of the Schrödinger equation since temperature distributions solely undergo diffusion.

Remark: Note the difference between equation 14 and 12, showing that the i inside the Schrödinger equation causes the quadratic broadening.

- f) A linear dispersion relation $\omega(k) = c_0 + c_1 k$ would have changed the results for the free particle. Show that the wave packet would not have broadened in time.

Exercise 3: Double-slit experiment and uncertainty principle (Oral)

Electrons of momentum p_0 move perpendicularly towards an aperture with two slits of distance a . After passing the aperture, the electrons yield an interference pattern on a screen mounted parallel to the aperture. The distance between the screen and the aperture is d . The angles θ_1, θ_2 are enclosed by the normal of the screen and the electrons' path drawn from slit 1, 2 to the impact point on the screen.

- a) Calculate the positions of the interference maxima on the screen. The probability to find electrons on the screen is

$$P \propto |\phi_1 + \phi_2|^2, \quad (16)$$

where ϕ_i is the collected phase which can be calculated via

$$\phi_i = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[x_i(t)]} \quad \text{with} \quad S[x_i(t)] = \int dt L[x_i(t)] = \int dt \frac{m}{2} (\partial_t x_i(t))^2. \quad (17)$$

Here, $x_i(t)$ denotes the electrons' path that passes slit i . The angles θ_1 and θ_2 can be assumed to be small and treated as equal.

- b) In this subtask, we consider a measurement device attached to the slits. The measurement device reveals the slit an electron passes before it hits the screen by the following principle: We treat the scattering of the electrons at the double-slit in a classical picture. The momentum δp transferred on the electron depends on the slit the electron went through. In case of elastic scattering, the momentum transfer is

$$\delta p_i = -p_0 \sin \theta_i \quad (18)$$

for slit i (here, we must not treat θ_1 and θ_2 as equal). If we try to measure δp , we have to take into account that the measurement device itself obeys the uncertainty principle

$$\Delta p \Delta x \geq \hbar. \quad (19)$$

The uncertainty Δp of the momentum of the measurement device must fulfill the condition $\Delta p < |\delta p_2 - \delta p_1|$ in order to be able to discriminate between the two slits. Estimate the uncertainty Δx of the position of the measurement device.

- c) Compare the uncertainty of the position of the measurement device with the distance of the interference maxima.