Prof. Dr. Hans Peter Büchler WS 2013/14, 14. Januar 2014

## 1. 1D classical Ising Model: Part 1 (Written)

Consider a chain of N classical, binary magnetic moments  $s_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ . The physics is defined by the 1D Ising Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{1D}(\{s_j\}) = -J \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} s_i s_{i+1} \tag{1}$$

with coupling constant  $J \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the following we consider open boundary conditions (OBC), i.e. the term  $s_{N+1}s_1$  is missing.

- (a) Explain pictorially why for J > 0 (J < 0) the system is called *ferromagnetic* (antiferromagnetic).
- (b) Calculate the canonical partition function

$$Z_N(T) = \sum_{\{s_j\}} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_{1D}(\{s_j\})}$$
(2)

with inverse temperature  $\beta \equiv \frac{1}{k_B T}$ . Here  $\sum_{\{s_j\}}$  denotes the sum over all configurations  $\{s_j\}$ .

Derive an expression for the free energy per site in the thermodynamic limit,  $f(T) = -\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_N(T).$ 

(c) Calculate the two-point correlation function

$$\langle s_i s_{i+k} \rangle = Z_N(T)^{-1} \sum_{\{s_j\}} s_i s_{i+k} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_{1D}(\{s_j\})}$$
 (3)

for i = 1, ..., N and  $k \leq N - i$  and conclude that there is no phase transition for T > 0. <u>Hint</u>: Show that  $\langle s_i s_{i+k} \rangle \to 0$  for  $k, N \to \infty$  and fixed *i*. That is, there is no long-range order for finite *T* in the thermodynamic limit. What happens for T = 0?

## 2. 1D classical Ising Model: Part 2 (Oral)

Let us go one step further and switch on a magnetic field h which contributes the energy  $-hs_i$  for each magnetic moment  $s_i$ . Therefore the new Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{1D}(\{s_j\}) = -J \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i s_{i+1} - h \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i$$
(4)

where we now impose periodic boundary conditions (PBC), i.e. the term  $s_{N+1}s_1$  is present and we identify  $s_{N+1} \equiv s_1$ .

(a) Again calculate the canonical partition function  $Z_N(T, h)$ . To this end, show that the partition function can be cast in the form

$$Z_N(T,h) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbb{T}^N\right] \tag{5}$$

where  $\mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  is a symmetric  $2 \times 2$ -matrix and  $\text{Tr}[\bullet]$  denotes the trace ( $\mathbb{T}$  is called *transfer matrix*).

Recall that for any diagonalisable matrix  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ it holds 1)  $M^N$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_i^N$  and 2)  $\operatorname{Tr}[M] = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i$ . Thereby derive an expression for  $Z_N(T, h)$ .

(b) Show that the free energy per site in the thermodynamic limit reads

$$f(T,h) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[ e^{\beta J} \cosh \beta h + \sqrt{e^{2\beta J} \sinh^2 \beta h + e^{-2\beta J}} \right].$$
(6)

(c) Derive an expression for the magnetization m(T, h) and the susceptibility  $\chi(T, h = 0)$ . To this end, show that the ensemble average of the magnetic moment per spin can be calculated (in the thermodynamic limit) as

$$m \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \sum_{i} s_{i} \right\rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial (\ln Z_{N})}{\partial (\beta h)}$$
(7)

and use the free energy f(T,h) to evaluate this expression. Is there now a phase transition, meaning a finite magnetization for vanishing magnetic field h and finite T? Explain the behaviour of  $\chi(T, h = 0)$  for  $T \to 0$ .

(d) Compare the results in (c) with the corresponding results of problem 4 on exercise sheet 11 for the non-interacting magnetic moments.

<u>Remark</u>: In contrast to the one-dimensional Ising *chain* which we considered here, there is a phase transition at a finite temperature  $T_c > 0$  in *two* dimensions. The analytical solution due to ONSAGER is considered a milestone of theoretical physics.

## 3. Repetition of Quantum Mechanics: Density operators (Oral)

This exercise sets up some crucial concepts of *quantum* statistical mechanics. Some (if not all) of them should already be known from your quantum mechanics lecture.

To describe the state of a quantum mechanical system as a vector  $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  in some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , it is essential for the state to be known completely (e.g. by measuring a CSCO, a complete set of commuting observables).

In real setups this is usually not possible which motivates a more general notion of quantum mechanical "states". Such a generalized state is described by the statement that the considered system is with *classical* probability  $p_i$  in some state  $|\Psi_i\rangle$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  (where  $\{|\Psi_i\rangle\}$  is a not neccessarily orthogonal set of states). We expect that measuring an observable  $\hat{A}$  yields the expectation value

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \langle \Psi_i | \hat{A} | \Psi_i \rangle \tag{8}$$

where  $\langle \Psi_i | \Psi_i \rangle = 1$ ,  $0 \le p_i \le 1$  and  $\sum_i p_i = 1$ .

The state of the system is now described by the *density operator* 

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i |\Psi_i\rangle \langle \Psi_i| \tag{9}$$

(often sloppily called *density matrix*).

A density operator  $\hat{\rho}$  is called *pure* if there is a state vector  $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\hat{\rho} = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$  and *mixed* otherwise. A mixed state  $\hat{\rho}$  therefore encodes a *classical mixture* of quantum states (in contrast to a coherent superposition).

- (a) Explain why  $\hat{\rho}$  indeed encodes our knowledge of the system completely by showing that the expectation value of an observable  $\hat{A}$  can be expressed as  $\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}\hat{A}] = \text{Tr}[\hat{A}\hat{\rho}]$  where  $\text{Tr}[\bullet]$  denotes the trace of an operator.
- (b) Prove the following characterizing properties of any density operator:
  - (i)  $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}^{\dagger}$  (self-adjoint)
  - (ii)  $\langle \phi | \hat{\rho} | \phi \rangle \ge 0$  for all  $| \phi \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  (positive semi-definite)
  - (iii)  $\operatorname{Tr}[\hat{\rho}] = 1$  (normalized trace-class)

Mathematically speaking, a density operator is a (bounded) positive semidefinite and Hermitian trace-class operator with trace one.

In the common perception of quantum mechanics it is perfectly valid to (coherently) superimpose two states  $|\Psi_1\rangle, |\Psi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  to obtain a new *physical* quantum state  $|\Psi'\rangle = \alpha |\Psi_1\rangle + \beta |\Psi_2\rangle$  (up to a normalizing factor). The state space  $\mathcal{H}$  (i.e. the Hilbert space) therefore exhibits a vector space structure.

(c) Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the vector space of bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  ("matrices") and denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the set of density operators (characterized by the properties in (b)).

Give an example to show that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$  is *not* a vector space. That is, density operators cannot be linearly combined in general to form a new valid density operator. Yet  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$  features an interesting property: Show that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$  is a *convex space*, i. e. show that for two density operators  $\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$  it follows

$$t \cdot \hat{\rho}_1 + (1-t) \cdot \hat{\rho}_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le t \le 1.$$
(10)

This is called a *convex combination* of density operators.

To conclude this short review of density operators, let us focus on the following two important statements:

- (d) Show that for any Hermitian operator  $\hat{H}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$  the operator  $\hat{\rho} := e^{-\beta \hat{H}} / \operatorname{Tr}[e^{-\beta \hat{H}}]$  is a density operator. <u>Hint:</u> Recall that a Hermitian matrix is positive semi-definite if and only if all
- eigenvalues are non-negative. (e) The quantity  $\gamma[\hat{\rho}] := \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^2]$  is called *purity*. Show that  $\gamma[\hat{\rho}] = 1$  if  $\hat{\rho}$  is pure and  $\hat{\rho} = 1$  if  $\hat{\rho}$  is pure and  $\hat{\rho} = 1$  if  $\hat{\rho}$  is pure and  $\hat{\rho} = 1$  if  $\hat{\rho$ 
  - $\gamma[\hat{\rho}] < 1$  if  $\hat{\rho}$  is mixed. We conclude that  $\gamma$  can be employed to check whether a given state is a pure quantum state or a classical mixture of quantum states.